ETAAC Meeting November 29, 2007





- Not intended to suggest 100% consensus
- Several organizations have relatively narrow focus across the spectrum of issues
- Individual groups to provide detailed comments in writing
- Individual experts on select topics may be available on phone to address questions



- Agree with ETAAC assessment that California has repeatedly demonstrated ability to substantially improve environment while enjoying economic growth and supporting population growth
- Support emphasis on new-, mid- and long-term goals
 - Urgent need for reductions
 - Policies and investments should pave way for, and not compromise, ability to meet vital 2050 emission reduction targets



- Agree that complementary policies are needed to stimulate innovation
- Support the principle to prioritize emission reductions having public health and socioeconomic co-benefits—as well as need to address environmental justice.
 - Urge CARB to conduct more extensive outreach to E.J. community leaders throughout state in effort to learn how to achieve E.J. community goals



- Recommendations provide a valuable contribution in directing policy and market incentives toward fostering innovation
- California Carbon Trust concept offers great promise; applaud emphasis given to:
 - directing investments in California
 - advancing Environmental Justice goals
 - achieving long-term reductions beyond 2020
 - Need clear mechanism to assure that E.J. communities will be empowered through Trust disbursements and other clean technology investments



- Using policy levers to encourage diffusion of new technology as important as R&D and too often ignored
- Cleantech Workforce Training is useful step to ensure sufficient skilled labor to support new industry
 - Disadvantaged communities could be assisted consistent with AB 32's community empowerment directive



- Market mechanisms beyond auctioning (C&T) should be considered
 - Fees and rebates should be employed to harness market forces in transition to lowcarbon technologies
- Municipal Assessment Districts a good idea
 - Any suggestions on how ARB might encourage municipalities to participate?



- Pleased to see report acknowledge
 - Measures to reduce travel demand and technologyforcing vehicle and fuel standards needed to achieve 2020 and 2050 limits
 - Public education campaign needed to help consumers understand climate threats and impacts of their choices
- Support development of GHG standards for medium- and heavy-duty vehicles; phase II for light duty vehicles

Transportation Challenges Exceed Technology and Markets (cont'd)

- Feebates emissions benefits above and beyond regulatory standards; most effective would be implemented fleet-wide. Should be added to Transportation Chapter.
- Appreciate recommendation to coordinate existing funding (e.g., Moyer) to address GHGs; however, new funding is needed
- Agree that LCFS, if fully implemented, will reduce GHGs from transportation fuels on a per-gallon basis. Standard might not be sufficient to incentivize the most advanced fuels, e.g. cellulosic ethanol, electricity and H2, needed to move to near-zero fleet
 - Incentives needed for R&D to ensure that vehicle/fuel/ infrastructure technologies are harmonized to move the cleanest systems into the market



- Support report's recommendations for VMT reduction; recommend adding items to improve transit, including:
 - electronic fare collection;
 - electrification of passenger rail service;
 - time-of-arrival information;
 - and bus rapid transit (BRT)
- Diesel reductions should be prioritized because of multiple benefits: Health benefits, traditional GHG
 - + black carbon reductions



- Industrial sector Chapter doesn't explicitly address capand-trade; may presume state will take this approach
- Energy efficiency standards for combustion devices could be backstop for devices traditionally untargeted; may be needed to get reductions across the board
- Loan assistance programs, information sharing and public/private partnerships are excellent approaches to improve technology deployment
- Report is mostly silent on other potential direct regulatory approaches:
 - GHG-specific measures for other large-emitters
 - Fuel switching away from fossil fuels



- Agree that individual programs can benefit discrete technologies
 - Different technologies play different roles in California's energy system, e.g., peak shaving, demand reduction, energy diversity
- Renewable energy will play increasingly important role
 - State should set clear requirements for the amount of renewables needed to support 2020 and 2050 limits
 - State could identify priorities for resources and regions and associated timetables to achieve those targets
 - In addition to continued expansion of renewable energy resources, we recommend that utilities plan for and execute a steady decrease in their reliance on carbonemitting resources over time.



- The "Possible Solution" section cites the (alleged) need for storage to firm intermittent resources such as wind and solar in order to shift them from off-peak to on.
 - This should be clarified to note that solar power IS generally on peak. Some suggest that wind and solar compliment each other.
 - CEC Intermittency Analysis Project report new storage not necessary for 33% renewables goal
- We recommend inclusion of molten salt and "flash" hot water storage technologies currently being developed for large-scale applications in other regions



- Technology-specific considerations:
 - The report should note that California has more potential for solar development than for any other resource, including both central station and distributed generation. Wind has tremendous economic potential.
 - ETAAC should emphasize peak shaving potential of energy efficiency, demand response, solar heating and cooling, etc., especially in Southern California. Support could be targeted to low-income communities.
 - Distributed generation and demand reduction may require greater emphasis in areas that are transmission constrained
- Report should recommend that barriers (e.g., demand charges and exit fees) to expanded use of combined heat and power be removed



- Ag systems are dynamic
 - management practices among farms
 - inherent nature of biological systems
- Estimates of emission reductions should acknowledge such uncertainty
- Basis for GHG projections should be elaborated.
 - ARB 2004 data appears to show higher emissions from 2.8 million cattle than ETAAC shows from 3.6 million head



- More research needed on the impact of feed additives on methane digesters
- Agricultural Biomass Utilization section discusses more than agricultural waste – title should be changed to reflect content
 - Increasing harvest of ag and forest residues beyond current 5 million dry tons will require more intensive management; with implications for nutrient cycling and water use
 - Increase harvest for biomass purposes will lead to increased emissions from transporting the dispersed resources, per AB 1007 report
 - Systems-wide analysis should be conducted to determine total benefits and impacts
 - State should establish independent 3rd party institution to research, test and certify technologies that can meet California environmental performance standards



- Expanding growth of crops for fuel will require more intensive management
 - Uncertainty about GHG footprint should be acknowledged; key uncertainty is N2O contribution
- Agree that conservation tillage likely to sequester carbon compared with status quo; provide cobenefits; uncertainties may remain
- Better estimates of land available for riparian and farmscape sequestration could be determined using GPS; interaction between fertilizers and new plant growth should be researched for N2O impacts



- Precision farming great potential for pesticide and fertilizer reduction
- While section mentions water management efficiency, topic is not adequately covered, given that agriculture consumes 75-80% of state's water. Increased water efficiency in ag sector can reduce GHGs two ways:
 - Reducing emissions from over-irrigated soils
 - Avoiding the energy use to transport H2O to agricultural areas



- Forest section solid set of recommendations
- Recommend consideration of additional points:
 - Forests should be recognized as significant source of CO2; report should address how incentives can be used to mitigate
 - Biomass energy and fuels management should be treated as distinct activities in policy development
 - Climate benefits are assessed at different scales



- Modification of forest protocols should track with Scoping Plan and be consistent with other sectors
- ARB likely to maintain authority over GHG accounting and should provide oversight for forest accounting methodologies to ensure consistency
- California-Grown measure is good way to promote climate benefits of forests while keeping business and environmental benefits in state.



- Sections contemplated dealing with recycling and waste reduction absent in Discussion Draft
 - Missing significant opportunity to cost-effectively reduce GHG emissions from mining, manufacturing, forestry, transportation and electricity sectors, while reducing methane emissions from landfills
 - 25% reduction in materials typically collected curbside could deliver 5 MMTCO₂E of GHG reductions



- References to waste issues in draft focus exclusively on conversion technologies, rather than on proven recycling and composting technologies
 - No quantifiable evidence that conversion technologies are as or more effective than other management options
 - State should evaluate all end-of-life management options, including composting and anaerobic digestion, before endorsing any conversion technologies



- The draft report lacks any reference to composting strategies, despite multiple GHG benefits, including:
 - Avoided landfill emissions
 - Greater carbon sequestration in crop biomass and soil
 - Reduced need for GHG-releasing fertilizers and pesticides
 - Decline in energy-intensive irrigation
 - Well-established, technology supports in-state agriculture
 - Composting is explicitly identified as the highest and best use for organic material under California law



Global Warming Action Coalition

American Lung Association of California

Audubon California

California League of Conservation Voters

California Tax Reform Association

California Wind Energy Association

Californians Against Waste

CalPIRG

Center for Clean Air Policy

Center for Energy Efficiency and Renewable Technologies

Climate, Community and Biodiversity Alliance

Climate Protection Campaign

Coalition for Clean Air

Community Environmental Council

Earthjustice

Environment California

Environmental Defense

Friends of the Earth

Global Green USA

Kirsch Foundation

Natural Resources Defense Council

Pacific Forest Trust

Planning and Conservation League

Redefining Progress

Sierra Club California

The Nature Conservancy

The Utility Reform Network

Tomales Bay Institute

Transportation and Land Use Coalition

Union of Concerned Scientists